

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/008,301	SARSFIELD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hien Tran	1764	

All Participants:

(1) Hien Tran.

(2) Paul L. Marshall.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 7

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the specification, drawings and claims as such forth in detail in an attached examiner's amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hien Tran
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)